

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.213 OF 2011

DEMOCRATIC YOUTH FEDERATION OF INDIA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and permission to file additional documents)

[For ad-interim Orders]

Date: 13/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. PANICKER RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Deepak Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Biju Raman, Adv.
Mr. K. Bineesh, Adv.
Ms. Usha Nandini, Adv.
Ms. Meena C.R., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jay Savla, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Renuka Sahu, Adv.

For Mizoram: Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

For Maharashtra: Mr. Shankar Chillarge, Adv.
Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.

For Sikkim: Mr. A. Mariarputham, AG., Sikkim
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

For Haryana: Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG.
Ms. Vivekta Singh, Adv.
Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Jharkhand: Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

For Andhra Pradesh: Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.

Ms. Nirada Das, Adv.

For Kerala: Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Asdv.
Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv.

For J&K: Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Suhas Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Prerna Mehta, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Tyagi, Adv.
Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, SG.
Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Asdv.
Mr. Anand Verma, Adv.
Mr. A. Deb Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Haris Beeran, Adv.
Mr. Anand Verma, Adv.
Mr. D. Mohta, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

This Writ Petition is moved in public interest highlighting the harmful effects caused by the continued use of Endosulfan on human beings and on the environment. Endosulfan is now used as a pesticide for the production of crops like paddy, sugarcane, cotton, mango, cashew etc. Few studies have been conducted in this area and its adverse effects on human health and environment has been reported. Some of the studies also indicate that Endosulfan is significantly associated with Neuro-behavioral disorders, Cognitive disorders, Hydrocephalus, Mental Retardation, Cortical blindness, Seizure disorders, Parkinson's disease etc.

Petitioner submits that it is a serious health hazard and the Court has got an obligation to protect human life, which is guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Further, it was pointed out that its ill-effects have been clearly felt in the State of Kerala and its use has been completely banned in that State. We have gone

through the report published by the Government of Kerala and the photographs appearing therein are highly disturbing.

Right to life, guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, is the most fundamental of all human rights, and any decision affecting human life, or which may put an individual's life at risk, must call for the most anxious scrutiny.

We have heard learned senior counsel Mr. Krishnan Venugopal appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Solicitor General of India and Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, learned senior counsel appearing for the Pesticides Manufacturers & Formulators Association of India and others.

Learned Solicitor General of India referred to the various reports and the findings recorded therein on the use of Endosulfan. After referring to those reports, we are of considered opinion that a detailed study on all India basis needs to be undertaken by an Expert Committee, so that its ill-effects on human health and environment can be better addressed.

We, therefore, appoint a Joint Committee headed by the Director General of ICMR and the Commissioner (Agriculture) to conduct a scientific study on the question whether the use of Endosulfan would cause any serious health hazard to human beings and would cause environmental pollution.

The Committee can also co-opt experts from the field of environment as well as public health and also other allied areas. The Committee would submit its interim report within eight weeks from today and also would suggest any alternative to Endosulfan. Till the report is submitted, keeping in mind the various judgments of this Court under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, particularly keeping in mind the precautionary

principle, we hereby pass an ad-interim order to immediate ban the production, use and sale of Endosulfan all over India and we further direct the statutory authorities to seize the permit given to the manufacturers of Endosulfan till further orders.

Place this matter in the second week after the ensuing summer vacation.

[Alka Dudeja]
Madhu Saxena]
A.R.-cum-P.S.
Assistant Registrar

[